Cluster science at IRFU

Scientists

- M. Andre
- S. C. Buchert
- E. Engwall (PhD)
- A. I. Eriksson
- G. Gustafsson
- M. Khotyaintsev (PhD)
- Y. Khotyaintsev
- A. Kullen
- M. Morooka
- A. Retino (PhD)
- L. Rosenqvist (PhD)
- K. Stasiewicz
- G. Stenberg
- A. Vaivads
- E. Yordanova

Topics

- Magnetic reconnection
 - diffusion region
 - separatrix region
 - magnetic islands
- Energy transfer across MP
- Polar wind
- Plasma waves at MP, cusp, tail
- Turbulence
- Energy transfer down to ionosphere
- Auroral physics

L. Rosenqvist

Magnetospheric energy budget using Cluster and groundbased data

Observational method to determine magnetospheric power input

Test of empirical model (proxy) of energy transfer during extreme storm/substorm

Energy budget during extreme geomagnetic activity





First observational estimate of solar wind power input using Cluster observations, $w_{mp} = 0.5 \text{ mW/m}^2$, 0.25 mW/m² due to tangential stess Global power input ~17 TW. 30 % of sw power to Joule heating according to obervations

Empirical proxies overestimate/underestimate energy input/output

E. Yordanova

Study of energy input into the ionospheric cusp using correlated ground-based and satellite observations

1) Energy into the ionospheric F-layer:

The estimated power is derived calculating the heating/cooling rates of the precipitating particles due to elastic and inelastic processes. The rates' calculations are based on EISCAT measurements of electron density, and electron, and ion temperatures.



1 Feb 2002 EISCAT/CLUSTER conjunction event



Results

The incoherent radar measurements reveal a significant amount of energy in the ionospheric cusp which is due to particle precipitation. **Cluster observations show a much larger downward Poynting flux** (~ 10 [mW/m²]) and upward particle energy fluxes (ions ~50 and electrons ~ 10) **than is necessary to explain the simultaneous conjugated ionospheric energy input**. This means that enough energy is found to heat the plasma locally in the cusp. This heating could then contribute to the origin of hot plasma in the plasma sheet after reconnection in the tail takes place.

Polar wind statistics

 Comparison to Su et al., POLAR, 1998 (top right; they miss most of the fun despite PSI and all...)



Figure 6.2: (a) Fraction of all events where cold ions have been detected as a function of Z_{GSM} using two different criteria: 1. $\mathbf{E}^{w} > 2 \text{ mV/m}$ (yellow and blue), and 2. $\mathbf{E}^{w} > 2 \text{ mV/m}$, and $u_{\parallel} > 25 \text{ km/s}$ (yellow). (b) The outward velocity distribution along the magnetic field. The mean (red line) and median (green line) values are displayed.



Scattering of radio waves on density fluctuations LOIS inspired



M. Khotyaintsev



Whistler mode waves close to the magnetopause



...the high-frequency part...







-a "striped" pattern

-sudden changes in the azimuthal angle

Vaivads, A., A. Retinò, and M. André, Microphysics of Reconnection

in print, Space Science Reviews



- Two regions of high interest X-region separatrix regions
- Probability of crossing X-region is small separatrix regions is high
- Separatrix regions important energy conversion remote sensing of the X-region magnetosph/ionosph coupling





Formation of Inner Structure of a Reconnection Separatrix Region

out of plane component

– strong j_{||}

normal B component

- · Crossing of the boundary layer (open/closed field lines)
- · Boundary velocity V=[-252 10 0] km/s GSE
- Density cavity of ~50%

Tangential electric field.

Normal electric field, within cavity potential jump of several kV.

Cavity forms where high energy plasma sheet electrons are lost to magnetosheath



Y. Khotyaintsev

Estimates of four out five terms in Generalized Ohm'"s Law. Good identification of balancing terms can be achieved.

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{ne} \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{ne} \nabla \mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{u}_i \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{m_e}{e} \mathbf{u}_e \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_e$$

Cluster on MHD scale

Magnetic reconnection Cluster crossing separatrix region at some distance from X-line





[Retinò et al., 2005 subm Geophys, Res, Lett.]

C1

[Retinò et al., 2005 Annales Geophys.]

electron scales	ion scales	MHD scales
 electron beams and their acceleration wave-particle interaction dynamics of solitary waves, double layers 	 spatial/temporal separation structure of magnetic islands and micro-FTEs structure and dynamics of separatrices 	 IMF control Large scale reconnection jets, jet reversal Continuity of reconnection
Cross-Scale cs	Cross-Scale CS	Cluster ©

Microphysics of magnetic separatrices



A. Retino

magnetic separatrix identified as boundary in waves

separatrix region ~ 5 $\lambda_{sh,i}$ wide

inside the separatrix region few subregions $\sim \lambda_{_{sh,i}}$ wide

density cavity adjacent to the separatrix

bipolar magnetic signature (micro-FTEs)

inside subregions structures below $\lambda_{sh,i}$

ESW at the boundary with jet but not inside the separatrix region

Separatrix region highly structured and dynamic suggests tat ongoing reconnection at X-line provides much free energy even away from it The next mission we need is Cross-Scale

Cross-Scale covers electron/ion/fluid scales simultaneously "Astroplasma observatory"

?? June 2006 – AO on Cosmic Vision

5/6 July 2006 workshop on Cross-Scale

- community support
- strengthen and widen base for proposal

